



ELSEVIER

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 147 (2002) 1–8

JOURNAL OF
COMPUTATIONAL AND
APPLIED MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/cam

Differential equations with integral boundary conditions

Tadeusz Jankowski

*Department of Differential Equations, Technical University of Gdańsk, 11/12 G. Narutowicz Str.,
80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*

Received 15 May 2001; received in revised form 10 December 2001

Abstract

The method of lower and upper solutions combined with monotone iterative technique is used for ordinary differential equations with integral boundary conditions. Problems of existence of extremal and unique solutions are discussed. Some comparison results are formulated too. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 34A45; 34B15; 34A40

Keywords: Lower and upper solutions; Monotone iterations; Convergence; Existence of solutions

1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall consider the following differential problem:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in J = [0, T], \quad T > 0, \\ x(0) &= \lambda \int_0^T x(s) \, ds + d, \quad d \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda = 1$ or -1 . Note that problems with integral conditions are discussed in many papers, see for example [6,7], where a numerical–analytic method is applied.

It is well known that the monotone iterative technique is a powerful method used to approximate solutions of several problems (see, for example, [1–5,8,9]). The purpose of this paper is to show that it can be applied successfully to problems with integral boundary conditions of type (1). This technique play important roles in constructing monotone sequences which converge to solutions of our problems. A one-sided Lipschitz condition imposed on f (with respect to the second variable) guarantees that problem (1) with $\lambda = 1$ has extremal solutions (see Section 2). For case $\lambda = -1$, we need to add extra condition on f_x to show that problem (1) has a unique solution (see Section 3). Some examples are given to illustrate obtained results.

2. Case $\lambda = 1$

A function $u \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be a lower solution of problem (1) for $\lambda = 1$ if

$$u'(t) \leq f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in J,$$

$$u(0) \leq \int_0^T u(s) \, ds + d,$$

and an upper solution of (1) if the inequalities are reversed.

Let $\Omega = \{u: y_0(t) \leq u \leq z_0(t), t \in J\}$ and $\Delta = \{w \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R}): y_0(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_0(t), t \in J\}$ be non-empty sets.

We introduce the following assumptions for later use.

(H₁) $f \in C(J \times \Omega, \mathbb{R})$,

(H₂) $y_0, z_0 \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ are lower and upper solutions of (1) for $\lambda = 1$, respectively, and such that $y_0(t) \leq z_0(t)$, $t \in J$,

(H₃) there exists $M > 0$ such that $f(t, u) - f(t, v) \leq M[v - u]$ if $u \leq v$, $u, v \in \Omega$, $t \in J$.

Lemma 1. Put $\lambda = 1$. Let Assumptions H₁ and H₃ hold. Assume that $u, v \in \Delta$ are lower and upper solutions of problem (1), respectively, and $u(t) \leq v(t)$ on J . If

$$y'(t) = f(t, u(t)) - M[y(t) - u(t)], \quad t \in J, \quad y(0) = \int_0^T u(s) \, ds + d,$$

$$z'(t) = f(t, v(t)) - M[z(t) - v(t)], \quad t \in J, \quad z(0) = \int_0^T v(s) \, ds + d,$$

then

$$u(t) \leq y(t) \leq z(t) \leq v(t), \quad t \in J, \tag{2}$$

and y, z are lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively.

Proof. Note that there exist unique solutions for y and z . Put $p = u - y$, $q = z - v$, so

$$p(0) \leq \int_0^T u(s) \, ds - \int_0^T u(s) \, ds = 0, \quad q(0) \leq \int_0^T v(s) \, ds - \int_0^T v(s) \, ds = 0,$$

and

$$p'(t) \leq f(t, u(t)) - f(t, u(t)) + M[y(t) - u(t)] = -Mp(t), \quad t \in J,$$

$$q'(t) \leq f(t, v(t)) - M[z(t) - v(t)] - f(t, v(t)) = -Mq(t), \quad t \in J.$$

Hence $p(t) \leq e^{-Mt} p(0) \leq 0$, $q(t) \leq e^{-Mt} q(0) \leq 0$, $t \in J$ showing that $u(t) \leq y(t)$, $z(t) \leq v(t)$, $t \in J$. Now let $p = y - z$, so $p(0) = \int_0^T u(s) ds - \int_0^T v(s) ds \leq 0$. Assumption H_3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &\leq f(t, u(t)) - f(t, v(t)) - M[y(t) - u(t) - z(t) + v(t)] \\ &\leq M[v(t) - u(t)] - M[p(t) + v(t) - u(t)] = -Mp(t), \quad t \in J. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $p(t) \leq 0$, $t \in J$ showing that $y(t) \leq z(t)$, $t \in J$. It proves that (2) holds. Now we need to show that y, z are lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively. Using again Assumption H_3 we see

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= f(t, u(t)) - M[y(t) - u(t)] - f(t, y(t)) + f(t, y(t)) \\ &\leq f(t, y(t)) + M[y(t) - u(t)] - M[y(t) - u(t)] = f(t, y(t)), \quad t \in J, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) &= f(t, v(t)) - M[z(t) - v(t)] - f(t, z(t)) + f(t, z(t)) \\ &\geq f(t, z(t)) - M[v(t) - z(t)] - M[z(t) - v(t)] = f(t, z(t)), \quad t \in J. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$y(0) = \int_0^T u(s) ds + d \leq \int_0^T y(s) ds + d, \quad z(0) = \int_0^T v(s) ds + d \geq \int_0^T z(s) ds + d.$$

It shows that y, z are lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively. It ends the proof. \square

Theorem 1. Put $\lambda = 1$. Let Assumptions H_1, H_2 and H_3 hold. Then there exist monotone sequences $\{y_n, z_n\}$ such that $y_n(t) \rightarrow y(t)$, $z_n(t) \rightarrow z(t)$, $t \in J$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and this convergence is uniformly and monotonically on J . Moreover, y, z are extremal solutions of (1) in Δ .

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} y'_{n+1}(t) &= f(t, y_n(t)) - M[y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)], \quad t \in J, \quad y_{n+1}(0) = \int_0^T y_n(s) ds + d, \\ z'_{n+1}(t) &= f(t, z_n(t)) - M[z_{n+1}(t) - z_n(t)], \quad t \in J, \quad z_{n+1}(0) = \int_0^T z_n(s) ds + d \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Lemma 1 shows $y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t)$, $t \in J$, and y_1, z_1 are lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively.

Assume that

$$y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq \dots \leq y_k(t) \leq z_k(t) \leq \dots \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t), \quad t \in J$$

for some $k \geq 1$ and let y_k, z_k be lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively. Then, using again Lemma 1, we get $y_k(t) \leq y_{k+1}(t) \leq z_{k+1}(t) \leq z_k(t)$, $t \in J$. By induction, we have

$$y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq \dots \leq y_n(t) \leq z_n(t) \leq \dots \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t), \quad t \in J$$

for all n . Hence $y_n(t) \rightarrow y(t)$, $z_n(t) \rightarrow z(t)$, $t \in J$ if $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, y and z are solutions of problem (1).

To finish the proof it is enough to show that y and z are extremal solutions of (1) in \mathcal{A} . To do it, we need to show that if w is any solution of (1) such that $y_0(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_0(t)$, $t \in J$, then $y_0(t) \leq y(t) \leq w(t) \leq z(t) \leq z_0(t)$, $t \in J$. Assume that for some k , $y_k(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_k(t)$, $t \in J$. Put $p = y_{k+1} - w$, $q = w - z_{k+1}$. Then

$$p(0) = \int_0^T [y_k(s) - w(s)] ds \leq 0, \quad q(0) = \int_0^T [w(s) - z_k(s)] ds \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &= f(t, y_k(t)) - f(t, w(t)) - M[y_{k+1}(t) - y_k(t)] \\ &\leq M[w(t) - y_k(t)] - M[y_{k+1}(t) - y_k(t)] = -Mp(t), \quad t \in J, \\ q'(t) &= f(t, w(t)) - f(t, z_k(t)) + M[z_{k+1}(t) - z_k(t)] \\ &\leq M[z_k(t) - w(t)] + M[z_{k+1}(t) - z_k(t)] = -Mq(t), \quad t \in J. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $y_{k+1}(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_{k+1}(t)$, $t \in J$. It proves, by induction, that $y_n(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_n(t)$, $t \in J$ for all n . Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $y(t) \leq w(t) \leq z(t)$ on J so the assertion of Theorem 1 is true. The proof is complete. \square

Example 1. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= e^{t \sin^2 x(t)}, \quad t \in J = [0, T] \text{ with } T = \ln 2, \\ \text{(a)} \quad x(0) &= \int_0^T x(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, $0 < e^{t \sin^2 x} \leq e^t$, $t \in J$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $y_0(t)=0$, $z_0(t)=e^t$ on J are lower and upper solutions of problem (a), respectively. Moreover, $M=2 \ln 2$. By Theorem 1, problem (a) has extremal solutions in the segment $[y_0, z_0]$.

3. Case $\lambda = -1$

Functions $u, v \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ are called weakly coupled (w.c.) lower and upper solutions of problem (1) for $\lambda = -1$ if

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &\leq f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in J, \quad u(0) \leq - \int_0^T v(s) ds + d, \\ v'(t) &\geq f(t, v(t)), \quad t \in J, \quad v(0) \geq - \int_0^T u(s) ds + d. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= e^{t \sin^2 x(t)}, \quad t \in J = [0, T] \text{ with } T = \ln 2, \\ \text{(b)} \quad x(0) &= - \int_0^T x(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is simple to verify that $y_0(t) = -1$, $z_0(t) = e^t$ on J are w.c. lower and upper solutions of (b).

We introduce the following assumptions for later use.

(H₄) $y_0, z_0 \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ are w.c. lower and upper solutions of (1) for $\lambda = -1$, and such that $y_0(t) \leq z_0(t)$, $t \in J$,

(H₅) $f_x \in C(J \times \Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $\int_0^T e^{\int_0^t f_x(s, \xi(s)) ds} dt \neq 1$ for any $\xi \in \Omega$.

The sets Ω and Δ are defined as in Section 2.

Lemma 2. Put $\lambda = -1$. Let Assumptions H₁, H₃ hold. Assume that $u, v \in \Delta$ are w.c. lower and upper solutions of problem (1), and $u(t) \leq v(t)$ on J . If

$$y'(t) = f(t, u(t)) - M[y(t) - u(t)], \quad t \in J, \quad y(0) = -\int_0^T v(s) ds + d,$$

$$z'(t) = f(t, v(t)) - M[z(t) - v(t)], \quad t \in J, \quad z(0) = -\int_0^T u(s) ds + d,$$

then

$$u(t) \leq y(t) \leq z(t) \leq v(t), \quad t \in J, \quad (3)$$

and y, z are w.c. lower and upper solutions of (1).

Proof. Note that there exist unique solutions for y and z . Put $p = u - y$, $q = z - v$, so

$$p(0) \leq -\int_0^T v(s) ds + \int_0^T v(s) ds = 0, \quad q(0) \leq -\int_0^T u(s) ds + \int_0^T u(s) ds = 0,$$

and

$$p'(t) \leq f(t, u(t)) - f(t, u(t)) + M[y(t) - u(t)] = -Mp(t), \quad t \in J,$$

$$q'(t) \leq f(t, v(t)) - M[z(t) - v(t)] - f(t, v(t)) = -Mq(t), \quad t \in J.$$

Hence $p(t) \leq e^{-Mt} p(0) \leq 0$, $q(t) \leq e^{-Mt} q(0) \leq 0$, $t \in J$ showing that $u(t) \leq y(t)$, $z(t) \leq v(t)$, $t \in J$.

Now let $p = y - z$, so $p(0) = -\int_0^T v(s) ds + \int_0^T u(s) ds \leq 0$. Assumption H₃ yields

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &\leq f(t, u(t)) - f(t, v(t)) - M[y(t) - u(t) - z(t) + v(t)] \\ &\leq M[v(t) - u(t)] - M[p(t) + v(t) - u(t)] = -Mp(t), \quad t \in J. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $p(t) \leq 0$, $t \in J$ showing that $y(t) \leq z(t)$, $t \in J$. It proves that (3) holds. Now we need to show that y, z are w.c. lower and upper solutions of (1). Using again Assumption H₃ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= f(t, u(t)) - M[y(t) - u(t)] - f(t, y(t)) + f(t, y(t)) \\ &\leq f(t, y(t)) + M[y(t) - u(t)] - M[y(t) - u(t)] = f(t, y(t)), \quad t \in J, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) &= f(t, v(t)) - M[z(t) - v(t)] - f(t, z(t)) + f(t, z(t)) \\ &\geq f(t, z(t)) - M[v(t) - z(t)] - M[z(t) - v(t)] = f(t, z(t)), \quad t \in J, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$y(0) = - \int_0^T v(s) \, ds + d + \int_0^T z(s) \, ds - \int_0^T z(s) \, ds \leq - \int_0^T z(s) \, ds + d,$$

$$z(0) = - \int_0^T u(s) \, ds + d + \int_0^T y(s) \, ds - \int_0^T y(s) \, ds \geq - \int_0^T y(s) \, ds + d.$$

It shows that y, z are w.c. lower and upper solutions of (1) and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2. Put $\lambda = -1$. Let Assumptions H_1, H_3 – H_5 hold. Then there exist monotone sequences $\{y_n, z_n\}$ such that $y_n(t) \rightarrow y(t)$, $z_n(t) \rightarrow z(t)$, $t \in J$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and this convergence is uniformly and monotonically on J . Moreover, problem (1) has a unique solution $x \in \Delta$ and $x = y = z$.

Proof. Let

$$y'_{n+1}(t) = f(t, y_n(t)) - M[y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)], \quad t \in J, \quad y_{n+1}(0) = - \int_0^T z_n(s) \, ds + d$$

$$z'_{n+1}(t) = f(t, z_n(t)) - M[z_{n+1}(t) - z_n(t)], \quad t \in J, \quad z_{n+1}(0) = - \int_0^T y_n(s) \, ds + d$$

for $n=0, 1, \dots$. By Lemma 2, we have $y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t)$, $t \in J$ and y_1, z_1 are w.c. lower and upper solutions of (1).

Assume that

$$y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq \dots \leq y_k(t) \leq z_k(t) \leq \dots \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t), \quad t \in J$$

for some $k \geq 1$ and let y_k, z_k be w.c. lower and upper solutions of (1). Then, by Lemma 2, we get $y_k(t) \leq y_{k+1}(t) \leq z_{k+1}(t) \leq z_k(t)$, $t \in J$. By induction, we have

$$y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq \dots \leq y_n(t) \leq z_n(t) \leq \dots \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t), \quad t \in J$$

for all n . Hence $y_n(t) \rightarrow y(t)$, $z_n(t) \rightarrow z(t)$, $t \in J$ if $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, (y, z) is a solution of the system

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= f(t, y(t)), \quad t \in J, \quad y(0) = - \int_0^T z(s) \, ds + d, \\ z'(t) &= f(t, z(t)), \quad t \in J, \quad z(0) = - \int_0^T y(s) \, ds + d. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Note that if we show that $y=z$, then $y=z$ is a solution of (1). Put $P = y - z$, so $P(0) = \int_0^T P(s) \, ds$, and

$$P'(t) = f(t, y(t)) - f(t, z(t)) = f_x(t, \xi(t))P(t), \quad t \in J,$$

where ξ is between y and z . It yields

$$P(t) = e^{\int_0^t f_x(s, \xi(s)) \, ds} P(0), \quad t \in J. \tag{5}$$

This and boundary condition for P give

$$P(0) = \int_0^T P(t) dt = P(0) \int_0^T e^{\int_0^t f_x(s, \xi(s)) ds} dt.$$

Hence, $P(0) = 0$, by Assumption H_5 , and finally, $P(t) = 0$ on J , by (5). It proves that $y = z$, so $y = z$ is a solution of (1).

To finish the proof it is enough to show that $y = z$ is a unique solution of (1) in \mathcal{A} . To do it, we need to show that if w is any solution of (1) such that $y_0(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_0(t)$, $t \in J$, then $y_0(t) \leq y(t) \leq w(t) \leq z(t) \leq z_0(t)$, $t \in J$. Assume that for some k , $y_k(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_k(t)$, $t \in J$. Put $p = y_{k+1} - w$, $q = w - z_{k+1}$. Then

$$p(0) = \int_0^T [w(s) - z_k(s)] ds \leq 0, \quad q(0) = \int_0^T [y_k(s) - w(s)] ds \leq 0,$$

and, by Assumption H_3 ,

$$p'(t) = f(t, y_k(t)) - f(t, w(t)) - M[y_{k+1}(t) - y_k(t)] \leq -Mp(t), \quad t \in J,$$

$$q'(t) = f(t, w(t)) - f(t, z_k(t)) + M[z_{k+1}(t) - z_k(t)] \leq -Mq(t), \quad t \in J.$$

Hence, $y_{k+1}(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_{k+1}(t)$, $t \in J$. It proves, by induction, that $y_n(t) \leq w(t) \leq z_n(t)$, $t \in J$ for all n . Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $y = w = z$, so the assertion of Theorem 2 is true. The proof is complete. \square

Example 3. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= e^t \sin^2 x(t) \equiv f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in J = [0, T] \text{ with } T = \ln \sqrt{3}, \\ \text{(c)} \quad x(0) &= - \int_0^T x(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is simple to verify that $y_0(t) = -1$, $z_0(t) = e^t$ on J are w.c. lower and upper solutions of problem (c) and $M = \sqrt{3}$. Moreover $f_x(t, \xi) = e^t \sin 2\xi$, so

$$\int_0^T e^{\int_0^t f_x(s, \xi(s)) ds} dt \leq \int_0^T e^{\int_0^t e^s ds} dt \leq \int_0^T e^{t\sqrt{3}} dt \approx 0.92 < 1.$$

Assumptions H_1 , H_3 – H_5 are satisfied, so problem (c) has in the segment $[y_0, z_0]$ a unique solution, by Theorem 2.

References

- [1] D. Franco, J.J. Nieto, First-order impulsive ordinary differential equations with anti-periodic and nonlinear boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 42 (2000) 163–173.
- [2] G.S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, A.S. Vatsala, *Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Pitman, London, 1985.
- [3] V. Lakshmikantham, Further improvements of generalized quasilinearization method, *Nonlinear Anal.* 27 (1996) 223–227.
- [4] V. Lakshmikantham, A.S. Vatsala, *Generalized Quasilinearization for Non-linear Problems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.

- [5] V. Lakshmikantham, N. Shahzad, J.J. Nieto, Methods of generalized quasilinearization for periodic boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 27 (1996) 143–151.
- [6] A.M. Samoilenko, S.V. Martynyuk, Justification of the numerical–analytic method of successive approximations for problems with integral boundary conditions, *Ukrain. Mat. Zh. SSR* 43 (1991) 1231–1239 (in Russian).
- [7] A.M. Samoilenko, N.I. Ronto, S.V. Martynyuk, On the numerical–analytic method for problems with integral boundary conditions, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR* 4 (1991) 34–37 (in Russian).
- [8] Y. Yin, Remarks on first order differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Times Dig.* 2 (1995) 83–94.
- [9] Y. Yin, Monotone iterative technique and quasilinearization for some anti-periodic problems, *Nonlinear World* 3 (1996) 253–266.